

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2672
10/726,023	12/02/2003	Ken Tanzillo	384.7854USU	
75	90 04/26/2006	EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greele	ey, Esq.	NGUYEN, NGA B		
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L	L.P.		
10th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square			3628	
Stamford CT	06001 2602			

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	———	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/726,023		TANZILLO ET AL.					
		E	xaminer		Art Unit					
		N	lga B. Nguyen		3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status			•							
2a) <u>□</u>	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 February 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers										
	•									
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449)		_	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Pat		-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 21, 2006 has been entered.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments/Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of new ground of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-7, 11, 13-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lent et al (hereinafter Lent), U.S. Patent No. 6,405,181.

Regarding to claim 1, Lent discloses a system for assessing risk, comprising: a user interface to receive requests and customer account information to be

stored in a portfolio in a first database (column 3, lines 53-58, an application engine 104 creates an application by prompting an applicant for data and storing the entered data; column 4, lines 55-67, an application data structure 202 stores the data contained in an application and keeps track of the status of the application);

a risk assessment manger that provides application functions, services and portfolio analysis based on said requests (column 17, lines 12-21, a web server 1406 and a business logic server 1408 implements the various approval checking processes);

a data integration component that provides access to at least a second database (column 5, lines 15-20, the application data structure includes a set of credit report objects 214 associated with each credit report, at least two credit reports from two of threw credit bureaus are ordered); and

a corporate linkage component that provides information to said risk assessment manager for user by said risk assessment manager in determining a total risk exposure based on said portfolio (column 2, lines 9-11; column 4, lines 18-25; column 5, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 57-65, an Underwriter engine 214 automatically approves an application based on the information obtained from credit bureaus).

Regarding to claim 2, Lent further discloses wherein said risk assessment manager comprises: a scoring component that provides a risk score based on said portfolio (column 5, liens 38-43, FICO score received from credit bureaus).

Regarding to claim 3, Lent further discloses wherein said risk assessment manager comprises: a transfer component to import and export data to and from said first database (column 17, lines 12-17, the web server 1406 is connected to the Internet

Art Unit: 3628

and communicates with the applicant host system to request and receive applicant information and to notify the applicant of the results of the approval process).

Regarding to claim 4, Lent further discloses where said data integration component enhances said customer account information, provides entity matching for said customer account information, and/or provides data products (column 4, lines 1-17, the parsing engine 106 parses the data into an exact format that may be used to directly access credit bureau data).

Regarding to claim 5, Lent discloses a computer system for assessing risk, comprising:

a portfolio analysis component that analyzes a portfolio of customer accounts and provides a data product containing a financial profile based on said portfolio (column 17, lines 12-21, a web server 1406 and a business logic server 1408 implements the various approval checking processes); and

a common decisioning component that automatically provides a credit decision based on said portfolio and user-defined rules and/or polices (column 2, lines 9-11; column 4, lines 18-25; column 5, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 57-65, an Underwriter engine 214 automatically approves an application based on the information obtained from credit bureaus).

Regarding to claim 6, Lent further discloses wherein said common decisioning component comprises: a setup component that receives said user-defined rules and/or policies (column 5, lines 15-37).

Regarding to claim 7, Lent further discloses a configuration console component that provides administrative functions and security; wherein said administrative functions include an import function, an export function; and/or a score calculating function (column 3, lines 54-57, receiving application data from an applicant (import function); column 4, lines 20-23, sending pared data to at least two credit bureaus (export function); column 5, lines 38-43, FICO score).

Regarding to claim 11, Lent discloses a machine-readable medium having instructions stored therein for performing a method of assessing risk, said method comprising:

receiving customer account information (column 3, lines 53-58, an application engine 104 creates an application by prompting an applicant for data and storing the entered data; column 4, lines 55-67, an application data structure 202 stores the data contained in an application and keeps track of the status of the application);

creating a portfolio based on said customer account information by applying at least one step selected from the group consisting of: entity matching, applying unique corporate identifiers, applying corporate linkage information, and applying predictive indicators (column 2, lines 9-11; column 4, lines 18-25; column 5, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 57-65, an Underwriter engine 214 automatically approves an application based on the information obtained from credit bureaus);

providing a customer based analysis of said portfolio (column 5, lines 25-37); providing a risk score for at least one customer in said portfolio based on said customer based analysis (column 5, lines 30-33, FICO score); and

Application/Control Number: 10/726,023

Art Unit: 3628

providing an account profile for said at least one customer (column 4, lines 55-67, application data structure 202 includes an application object).

Regarding to claim 13, Lent further discloses providing days sales outstanding information for said at least one customer in said portfolio (column 5, lines 27-31, e.g. a 90 day attribute that indicates the number of times the applicant has been more than 90 days late in payment of a debt).

Regarding to claim 14, Lent further discloses wherein said account profile includes a financial statement (column 5, lines 15-20, application data structure 202 includes a set of credit report objects).

Regarding to claim 15, Lent discloses a computer-implemented method of assessing risk, which comprises:

enhancing data in a portfolio of customer accounts by a quality assurance process (column 3, lines 53-58, an application engine 104 creates an application by prompting an applicant for data and storing the entered data; column 4, lines 55-67, an application data structure 202 stores the data contained in an application and keeps track of the status of the application);

receiving and enforcing at least one user-defined rules and/or user-defined policy (column 5, lines 15-23, the Underwriter requires at lest two credit reports from two of three credit bureaus);

providing automated credit decisioning for at least one customer based on said at least one user-defined rule and/or said at least one user-defined policy (column 2, lines 9-11; column 4, lines 18-25; column 5, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 57-65, an

Application/Control Number: 10/726,023

Art Unit: 3628

Underwriter engine 214 automatically approves an application based on the information obtained from credit bureaus);

providing days sales outstanding for said at least one customer in said portfolio (column 5, lines 27-31, e.g. a 90 day attribute that indicates the number of times the applicant has been more than 90 days late in payment of a debt); and providing risk information for said at least one customer in said portfolio (column 5, lines 25-37, each credit report object includes a plurality of attitudes).

Regarding to claim 16, Lent further discloses segmenting said portfolio by a selected variable to uncover risks and opportunities in said portfolio (column 5, lines 25-37, each credit report object includes a plurality of attitudes).

Regarding to claim 17, Lent further discloses wherein said risk information includes a total risk exposure within a corporate entity associated with said at least one customer (column 5, lines 25-37, each credit report object includes a plurality of attitudes).

Regarding to claim 18, Lent further discloses wherein said quality assurance process includes at least one step selected from the group consisting of: entity matching, applying unique corporate identifiers, applying corporate linkage information, and/or applying predictive indicators (column 2, lines 9-11; column 4, lines 18-25; column 5, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 57-65, an Underwriter engine 214 automatically approves an application based on the information obtained from credit bureaus).

Regarding to claims 19-21, Lent further discloses providing a financial profile of said at least one customer in said portfolio, wherein said financial profile includes how said at least one customer pays other companies, financial profile includes a financial statement for said at least one customer (column 5, lines 25-37, each credit report object includes a plurality of attitudes).

Regarding to claim 23, Lent further discloses wherein said policy is selected from the group consisting of: a credit limit policy, a score policy, an exception policy, a collection policy, a selling term policy, and a financial selection policy (column 5, lines 38-43, a score policy).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lent et al (hereinafter Lent), U.S. Patent No. 6,405,181.

Regarding to claims 8-10, Lent does not disclose a country logic component that determines a base language and a base currency for said customer in said portfolio; a database access component that retrieves country specific data from a plurality of systems, wherein said plurality of systems are selected from the group consisting of:

Art Unit: 3628

European Office System, Canada Bilingual Office System, United States Advanced Office Systems, Nordic, and Asian Pacific Latin America. However, determining a base language and a base currency for customer and retrieving country specific data from such a plurality of system above are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lent's adopt the well known features above for the purpose of providing more convenient to customer when accessing customer's account information.

8. Claims 12, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lent et al (hereinafter Lent), U.S. Patent No. 6,405,181, in view of Land et al (hereinafter Land), U.S. Patent No. 6,847,942.

Regarding to claim 22, Lent does not disclose receiving a change in a financial statement for said at least one customer; and assessing a risk change in said portfolio based on said change in said financial policy according to said at least one user-defined rule and at least one user-defined policy. However, Land discloses receiving a change in a financial statement for said at least one customer; and assessing a risk change in said portfolio based on said change in said financial policy according to said at least one user-defined rule and at least one user-defined policy (column 12, lines 44-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lent's adopt the teaching of Land above, for the purpose of updating customer's risk information, for the purpose of providing more accurate in making approval decision.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,023 Page 10

Art Unit: 3628

Regarding to claims 12 and 24, Lent does not disclose providing financial data about said portfolio in a selected currency providing a currency conversion feature; providing a local currency for said at least one customer in said portfolio; and assigning a default currency for said at least one customer in said portfolio. However, Land discloses providing financial data about said portfolio in a selected currency (column 9, lines 25-43) and providing a currency conversion feature; providing a local currency for said at least one customer in said portfolio; and assigning a default currency for said at least one customer in said portfolio (column 9, lines 25-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lent's adopt the teaching of Land above, for the purpose of providing more convenient to the customer when accessing customer's account information.

#### **Conclusion**

- 9. Claims 1-24 are rejected.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on (571) 272) 6799.

Art Unit: 3628

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-0325 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).

Mga Nguyen

NGA NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 17, 2006